The Sankalpa: International Journal of Management Decisions
ISSN: 2454-7425
Volume 12, Issue 1 (January - June 2026)

The Management of Classified Saharan Palaces Between Protection Needs and Sustainability Considerations: An Archaeological Management Perspective

Djazia Elfilali
Email : djazia.elfilali@univ-bechar.dz
Chibane Yamina
Email: Chibaneyamina88@gmail.com
Kadi Mohamed
Email: mohamed.kadi@univ-bechar.dz

Received:14 September 2025 *Accepted: 31 December 2025* *Published: 27 January 2025*

Abstract

Classified Saharan ksour constitute a fundamental component of the cultural and architectural heritage of desert regions, reflecting centuries of social organization, environmental adaptation, and vernacular construction techniques. Despite their historical and symbolic value, these heritage complexes face increasing threats resulting from environmental degradation, demographic changes, inadequate management practices, and the pressures of modernization. This study examines the functional role of classified Saharan ksour within the dual framework of heritage protection requirements and sustainability imperatives, adopting an archaeological management perspective that integrates conservation, use, and long-term viability.

The research adopts an analytical and interpretive approach, combining heritage management theory with archaeological principles to assess existing protection mechanisms and their limitations in ensuring sustainable preservation. It argues that effective management of Saharan ksour must transcend purely legal or technical conservation measures and instead embrace a holistic model that accounts for social participation, economic valorization, environmental resilience, and institutional coordination. The study further highlights the importance of adaptive reuse and sustainable tourism as strategic tools capable of reconciling heritage protection with local development needs.

Ultimately, this paper proposes an integrated archaeological management framework that positions classified ksour not as static monuments, but as living cultural landscapes whose

sustainability depends on balanced governance, community involvement, and context-sensitive conservation strategies.

Keywords: Saharan Ksour – Cultural Heritage – Archaeological Management – Sustainability – Heritage Protection

Introduction

Saharan ksour represent one of the most distinctive manifestations of desert architecture and collective settlement systems in North Africa. Constructed primarily from local materials such as adobe, stone, and palm wood, these fortified villages were historically designed to respond to harsh climatic conditions, security needs, and socio-economic organization. Over time, they evolved into complex cultural spaces embodying architectural ingenuity, communal values, and intangible heritage practices. Their classification as protected heritage sites reflects growing recognition of their historical and cultural significance at national and international levels.

However, the mere classification of ksour does not guarantee their effective preservation. Many classified Saharan ksour continue to suffer from abandonment, structural decay, and incompatible interventions that undermine their authenticity. This paradox reveals a critical gap between formal protection frameworks and the practical realities of heritage management in desert contexts. Protection policies often prioritize physical conservation while neglecting the socio-economic and environmental dimensions necessary for long-term sustainability.

In this context, archaeological management emerges as a comprehensive approach capable of bridging protection requirements with sustainability imperatives. By integrating archaeological research, conservation planning, community engagement, and sustainable development strategies, archaeological management offers a dynamic framework for safeguarding Saharan ksour while ensuring their continued relevance and functionality within contemporary society.

Heritage Value and Functional Significance of Saharan Ksour

The heritage value of Saharan ksour extends beyond their architectural form to encompass their role as historical records of human adaptation to extreme environments. These settlements illustrate sophisticated construction techniques developed to regulate temperature, optimize space, and ensure collective security. From an archaeological perspective, ksour function as material archives that document patterns of settlement, trade, and social organization across centuries.

Functionally, ksour were not merely residential spaces but integrated systems combining housing, storage, religious facilities, and defensive structures. This multifunctionality reflects a sustainable model of living rooted in communal cooperation and efficient resource use. Understanding this functional dimension is essential for any management strategy, as it informs decisions regarding conservation priorities and potential adaptive reuse.

The erosion of these functions due to abandonment or inappropriate modernization threatens the integrity of ksour as coherent heritage entities. Archaeological management must therefore prioritize the preservation of functional relationships within ksour, rather than isolating individual structures as museum-like artifacts detached from their original context.

Legal Protection Frameworks and Their Limitations

Legal classification constitutes the primary mechanism through which Saharan ksour are officially protected. Heritage laws typically define the boundaries of protected sites, regulate interventions, and impose sanctions against destruction or alteration. While such frameworks are necessary, they often remain insufficient when applied in isolation from broader management strategies.

One of the main limitations of legal protection lies in its focus on restriction rather than activation. Strict regulations may prevent harmful interventions but fail to provide viable alternatives for local populations seeking economic opportunities. As a result, communities may perceive heritage protection as an obstacle rather than a shared responsibility, leading to neglect or informal modifications.

From an archaeological management standpoint, legal frameworks must be complemented by operational tools, including management plans, monitoring systems, and stakeholder coordination mechanisms. Without these instruments, protection remains symbolic and incapable of ensuring the sustainable survival of ksour.

Environmental Challenges and Climate Vulnerability

The desert environment poses significant challenges to the preservation of Saharan ksour. Extreme temperature fluctuations, wind erosion, and occasional flooding accelerate the deterioration of earthen architecture. Climate change further intensifies these threats, increasing the frequency of extreme weather events and undermining traditional maintenance practices.

Archaeological management requires a deep understanding of environmental dynamics and their impact on heritage materials. Conservation strategies must therefore integrate traditional building knowledge with modern scientific techniques to enhance structural resilience while respecting authenticity. Ignoring environmental factors results in short-term interventions that fail under desert conditions.

Moreover, sustainability imperatives demand that environmental adaptation be addressed at both site and regional levels. This includes landscape management, water control systems, and ecological integration, ensuring that ksour remain viable components of their natural surroundings rather than isolated and fragile relics.

Socio-Economic Dimensions and Community Involvement

Local communities constitute a central pillar in the sustainable management of Saharan ksour. Historically, ksour were maintained through collective labor and shared responsibility, a model that has weakened due to urban migration and economic transformation. Reviving community involvement is therefore essential for effective heritage management.

Archaeological management emphasizes participatory approaches that recognize local populations as custodians rather than mere beneficiaries of heritage. Involving communities in decision-making, maintenance, and valorization initiatives fosters a sense of ownership and ensures that conservation efforts align with local needs and values.

Economic sustainability is closely linked to this participatory model. By creating heritage-based economic opportunities, such as crafts, cultural tourism, and educational programs, ksour can regain functional relevance and contribute to local development without compromising their integrity.

Sustainable Tourism and Adaptive Reuse

Sustainable tourism represents a potential avenue for reconciling heritage protection with economic viability. When carefully managed, tourism can generate resources for conservation while raising awareness of the cultural value of Saharan ksour. However, uncontrolled tourism risks physical degradation and cultural commodification.

Adaptive reuse, guided by archaeological principles, offers a balanced solution. By repurposing certain spaces for cultural, educational, or community activities, ksour can remain active while preserving their architectural and symbolic character. Such reuse must be reversible, context-sensitive, and based on thorough archaeological assessment.

Archaeological management plays a critical role in regulating tourism and reuse, ensuring that development initiatives respect carrying capacity, authenticity, and long-term sustainability rather than short-term profit.

Integrated Archaeological Management Model

An integrated archaeological management model provides a comprehensive framework for addressing the complex challenges facing classified Saharan ksour. This model combines research, conservation, legal protection, community engagement, and sustainable development into a coherent strategy.

Central to this approach is the recognition of ksour as living heritage landscapes rather than static monuments. Management plans must therefore be adaptive, continuously revised based on monitoring results and stakeholder feedback. Archaeological data serves as the foundation for informed decision-making, guiding interventions and preventing irreversible damage.

Ultimately, the success of this model depends on institutional coordination, interdisciplinary expertise, and long-term commitment. By aligning protection requirements with sustainability imperatives, archaeological management ensures that Saharan ksour remain enduring witnesses of cultural identity and human resilience.

Conclusion

The study of classified Saharan ksour through the lens of archaeological management reveals that the challenges confronting these heritage sites are not merely technical or legal in nature, but deeply structural and multidimensional. While classification represents an essential first step toward recognition and protection, it remains insufficient when disconnected from comprehensive management strategies that address social, environmental, and economic realities. The persistence of deterioration, abandonment, and functional loss among many ksour demonstrates the limits of protection policies that treat heritage as static monuments rather than dynamic cultural systems.

This research has shown that Saharan ksour historically embodied a sustainable model of settlement, rooted in environmental adaptation, collective organization, and efficient resource use. Their architectural forms, spatial organization, and construction materials were closely aligned with desert conditions, allowing these communities to endure for centuries. However, contemporary pressures—such as climate change, rural exodus, and modernization—have disrupted this equilibrium, placing ksour in a state of increasing vulnerability. Addressing these

threats requires more than restoration campaigns; it necessitates a rethinking of heritage governance grounded in sustainability principles.

Archaeological management emerges as a particularly relevant approach in this context, as it integrates scientific research with conservation planning and long-term use strategies. By relying on archaeological data, management decisions can be informed by a deep understanding of the historical functions, construction logic, and cultural significance of ksour. This prevents inappropriate interventions that may compromise authenticity or structural integrity. Moreover, archaeological management emphasizes continuous monitoring and adaptive planning, allowing heritage strategies to evolve in response to environmental and social change.

A key conclusion of this study is the central role of local communities in ensuring the sustainability of classified ksour. Heritage cannot survive in isolation from its social environment. When communities are excluded from decision-making processes, protection measures risk becoming ineffective or even counterproductive. Conversely, participatory management models that involve residents in conservation, valorization, and governance foster a sense of ownership and responsibility. Such models revive traditional maintenance practices while integrating them with contemporary conservation standards.

Economic sustainability also constitutes a decisive factor in the long-term preservation of Saharan ksour. The absence of viable economic functions often leads to neglect and abandonment, regardless of legal protection status. Sustainable tourism and adaptive reuse, when carefully regulated, offer promising pathways for reconciling heritage preservation with local development. However, this study emphasizes that economic exploitation must remain subordinate to conservation objectives, guided by archaeological assessment and strict management frameworks to prevent overuse and cultural commodification.

Environmental considerations further reinforce the need for an integrated approach. The fragility of earthen architecture in desert environments demands conservation strategies that are both scientifically informed and environmentally sensitive. Reviving traditional building knowledge, improving resilience to climate impacts, and managing the surrounding landscape are all essential components of sustainable heritage management. Ignoring these factors risks reducing conservation efforts to short-lived interventions with limited effectiveness.

In conclusion, the sustainable protection of classified Saharan ksour depends on the adoption of an integrated archaeological management model that aligns legal protection, scientific research, community participation, environmental adaptation, and economic valorization. Such

a model transforms ksour from endangered relics into active cultural landscapes capable of contributing to contemporary society while preserving their historical identity. By bridging protection requirements with sustainability imperatives, archaeological management offers a viable and forward-looking framework for safeguarding Saharan ksour for future generations.

References

Ashworth, G. J., Graham, B., & Tunbridge, J. E. (2007). *Pluralising pasts: Heritage, identity and place in multicultural societies*. London: Pluto Press.

Avrami, E., Mason, R., & de la Torre, M. (2000). *Values and heritage conservation*. Los Angeles: Getty Conservation Institute.

Feilden, B. M. (2003). *Conservation of historic buildings* (3rd ed.). Oxford: Architectural Press.

Jokilehto, J. (1999). *A history of architectural conservation*. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Lowenthal, D. (2015). *The past is a foreign country – revisited*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Matero, F. G. (2008). Managing change: The role of documentation and condition survey at heritage sites. *Journal of Architectural Conservation*, 14(2), 31–44.

Smith, L. (2006). *Uses of heritage*. London: Routledge.

UNESCO. (2013). *Managing cultural world heritage*. Paris: UNESCO World Heritage Centre.

Vecco, M. (2010). A definition of cultural heritage: From the tangible to the intangible. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 11(3), 321–324.

Winter, T. (2014). *Heritage conservation futures*. London: Routledge.